## 24 25

26

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10		
11	MANUEL FRANCO,	No. C 10-04768 WHA
12		
13	Plaintiff,	ORDER DISMISSING
14	v.	DEFENDANT SANG JUN
15	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, San Francisco Chiefs of Police HEATHER FONG and GEORGE GASCON,	
16	SANDRA C. JACQUEZ FLORES, CHRISTOPHER MERINDINO, BRIAN GINN, MARIO MOLINA, JOSEPH	
17	L. FORD, DANIEL H. BUTLER, ELIZABETH A. WICKMAN, KENNETH KARCH, EMJOHN V. PASCUA,	
18	MICHAEL J. KLINKE, JOHN S. POSUSNEY, DAVID S. PARNELL, TSENG CHOW, AMY POLING, GEORGE	
19	DOBBERSTEIN, CHRISTINE LASCALZO, KRISTINE CARTER, FRANCISCO MARTINEZ, NICOLAS T. FORST,	
20	MARK HAMMELL, SANG JUN, GREGORY A. JENKINS, CHRISTINE LOSCALZO, BEN HORTON, SCOTT LAU,	
21	JOHN CAGNEY, TOM NOOLAN, and DEFENDANT DOES 1–40,	
22	Defendants.	
23		

By order dated July 23, 2012, plaintiff was ordered to show cause why defendant Sang Jun, the only defendant who did not move for dismissal, should not be dismissed from this action for the reasons stated in the dismissal order and the order denying the motion for leave to amend. Plaintiff failed to respond. Because the reasons in the dismissal order and the order

## Case3:10-cv-04768-WHA Document91 Filed08/09/12 Page2 of 2

denying the motion for leave to amend apply equally to defendant Sang Jun, he is hereby			
<b>DISMISSED</b> from this action. The <i>Heck</i> -barred claims (as set forth in the order of dismissal)			
raised against defendant are <b>DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE</b> .			

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 9, 2012.

Mi Alma

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE